



2021 Production Facilities Upgrades RFQ  
Solicitation Number: PS-00105-FG

ADDENDUM 1  
December 11, 2020

To Respondent of Record:

<b>RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS</b>
-------------------------------

1. **Question:** Page 9 of 88 of the RFQ specifies “Design deliverables for each of the identified projects has been made available for review by potential Respondents on SAWS’ website”, however the Downloads page for this proposal only contains the RFQ PDF and Evaluation Criteria Forms word doc. Please elaborate as to where/how the design deliverables may be found.

*Response:* Item no. 3 under Section E. Projects is not applicable. See Item no. 1 under Changes to the RFQ, in this addendum.

2. **Question:** Page 26 of 88 of the RFQ specifies a “six (5) page limit” for the Key Personnel resumes. Please clarify the page limit for this section.

*Response:* There is a five (5) page limit for the Key Personnel resumes.

3. **Question:** Page 30 of 88 of the RFQ is a “Respondents and Proposed Subconsultants Role on this Project” form. This form is not referenced in the Evaluation Criteria Details and Requirements section. Does this form need to be included as part of the submittal?

*Response:* Yes, this form is required.

4. **Question:** Page 27 of 88 of the RFQ requests “a list of three (3) completed projects”. Will projects that have completed design but not construction be considered “completed projects”?

*Response:* No. Completed projects must have been constructed by now.

5. **Question:** Page 27 of 88 of the RFQ specifies that “All three (3) projects shall have been performed by the Respondent”, however the third column specifies “A maximum of two (2) projects must be/have been performed by Key Sub-consultant”. Please elaborate—should all projects have been completed by the submitting firm in a prime capacity?

*Response:* Per the Evaluation Criteria, “All three (3) projects shall have been performed by the Respondent.” See Item No. 2 under Changes to the RFQ, in this addendum.

6. **Question:** It was mentioned in the 12/8 Presubmittal Meeting that SAWS would provide more information on the stations that make up these projects. For each project, can SAWS please specify the following:

- **Firm rated capacity of the pump station**
- **Installed capacity of the pump station**
- **Number and type of pumps installed**
- **Flow and head rated capacity of each pump**
- **Actual average and peak flow pumping history of pump station**

*Response: Specific pump station information will be provided to the selected Respondent after award of the project by the SAWS Board.*

**7. Question: What is the MGD size of each pump station?**

*Response: East Houston PS has a total pump capacity of 18.5 MGD.  
Market St. PS has a total pump capacity of 38.9 MGD.  
Bear Creek PS has a total pump capacity of 2.7 MGD.  
Bear Springs PS has a total pump capacity of 6.3 MGD.  
Tippecanoe PS has a total pump capacity of 2.7 MGD.*

**8. Question: Can we gear the RFQ toward only one of the projects?**

*Response: Yes. Respondents can select any of the projects to tailor their responses.*

**9. Question: Will multiple consultants be selected?**

*Response: Yes.*

**10. Question: Do firms certified ONLY as SBE count toward the GFEP goal?**

*Response: SBE-only firms (that have certification from recognized sources and a local presence) do count toward the aspirational goal, and may earn up to a maximum of 5 SMWB points.*

**11. Question: Will the water analyzers (chlorine residual, etc.) be replaced?**

*Response: Yes.*

**12. Question: It was mentioned on the presubmittal meeting that motor and pump replacements would make up part of the project scope. Please confirm whether this applies to all three pump stations.**

*Response: The Water Production Facilities Electrical Upgrades project may require the replacement of a well pump and motor. SAWS does not expect any pump replacement for any other project.*

**13. Question: Does SAWS use chloramines or straight chlorine for disinfection of its potable water?**

*Response: SAWS does not use chloramines for disinfection.*

## CHANGES TO THE RFQ

1. Remove item nos. 3 and 4 from Section E, Projects, as shown on page 9 of 88.
2. Attachment III – Evaluation Criteria Details and Requirements: Remove the following statements from the Similar Projects and Past Performance section under “Submission Reminders”, as shown on page 27 of 88.
  - ✓ A minimum of three (3) projects must be/have been performed by Respondent.
  - ✓ A maximum of two (2) projects must be/have been performed by Key Sub-consultant.

## CLARIFICATION

1. Attachment III – Evaluation Criteria Details and Requirements, Similar Projects and Past Performance section under “Description”, as shown on page 27 of 88.

*The Respondents must have completed three (3) similar projects in the last 10 years.*

## END OF ADDENDUM 1

This Addendum is three (3) pages in its entirety, with no attachments.